Public Scoping Meeting Comment Form North Branch Park River **NRCS Watershed Plan** February 17, 2016

Background

The Park River Joint Water Resource District (PRJWRD) was formed in 2013 between Walsh and Pembina Counties in an effort to develop flood damage reduction projects to alleviate impacts caused by high flows. The initial focus of the PRJWRD is aimed at the North Branch and Cart Creek tributaries of the Park River Watershed. Beginning in 2014, the PRJWRD began a state and locally financed planning effort to identify problems, establish watershed stakeholders, and review flood damage reduction strategies. In 2015, the PRJWRD secured funding provided by the NRCS through the Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP). This funding allocated \$12 million dollars to eligible applicants as determined by the Red River Retention Authority (RRRA). The RCPP funding was made available to the PRJWRD for watershed planning in the North Branch Park River Watershed, which includes the Cart Creek tributary. Because these funds are provided by the NRCS, watershed planning must follow NRCS agency guidelines for compliance with National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) requirements. Tasks required for the NRCS Watershed Plan are available in the Feasibility Study and Plan of Work document.

Purpose of Today's Meeting

The initial step in NRCS Watershed Planning, as directed by NEPA, is to allow for input from all interested parties including federal, state, and local agencies and other interested groups or persons. Initial input will be focused on resource concerns within the North Branch Watershed. Scoping must consider the following, but is not limited to this list. In order to gather input on resource concerns within the North Branch Watershed, we would request that the attached comment form be completed and provided to the PRJWRD.

Required NEPA Concerns for Scoping:

- National Economic
- Development (NED)
- Air quality
- Coral reefs
- Cultural Resources
- Ecologically critical areas
- Endangered and threatened species
- Environmental justice and civil rights
- Essential fish habitat
- Fish and wildlife (including coordination requirements)
- Floodplain management

Other possible concerns:

- Delayed planting
- Prevented planting
- Crop damages from prolonged inundation
- Road damages

- Forest resources
- Invasive species
- Land use
- Migratory birds
- Natural areas
- Parklands
- Prime and unique farmland, and farmland of statewide significance
- Public health and safety
- Regional water resource plans (including coastal zone plans)
- Riparian areas
- Culvert and bridge wash outs
- Field erosion/deposition
- Breakout flows to Willow Creek/Drain 67

- Scenic beauty
- Scientific resources
- Sole source aquifers
- Social issues
- Soil resources
- Water resources
- Waters of the United States, including special aquatic sites
- Wild and scenic rivers
- Community impacts
- Channel erosion/deposition
- Transportation disruptions
- Business/Commerce disruptions

- Water quality
- Wetlands

Please fill out the following information based on your priorities in the North Branch Watershed. Comments forms will be accepted for all forms postmarked on or before <u>March 17, 2016</u>. Completed comment forms can be mailed to the PRJWRD office at:

Park River Joint Water Resource District
308 Courthouse Drive No. 5
Cavalier, ND 58220

Name:

Or via email to <a>lkemp@nd.gov

Address:_____

Affiliation:

(agency, resident, commissioner, mayor, etc...)

Circle the most appropriate ranking for each concern listed below. Refer to the KEY for definitions of each ranking. Concerns where the degree of concern is not inidcated will be considered a zero value (No Concern or Not Relevant).

<u>KEY:</u>	0 = No Concern or Not Relevant 3 = Moderate Concern	1 = Minimal Concern 4 = Significant Concern				2 = Minor Concern 5 = Severe Concern						
Required NEPA Concerns for Scoping:												
National Economic I	Development (NED)	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Air quality		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Coral reefs		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Cultural Resources		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Ecologically critical a	areas	0	1	2	3	4	5					
 Endangered and thr 	eatened species	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Environmental justic	ce and civil rights	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Essential fish habita	t	0	1	2	3	4	5					
• Fish and wildlife (ind	luding coordination requirements)	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Floodplain manager	nent	0	1	2	3	4	5					
Forest resources		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Invasive species		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Land use		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Migratory birds		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Natural areas		0	1	2	3	4	5					
Parklands		0	1	2	3	4	5					
• Prime and unique fa	rmland, and farmland of statewide sign	ificance0	1	2	3	4	5					
Public health and sa	fety	0	1	2	3	4	5					

Regional water resource plans (including coastal zone plans)			2	3	4	5
Riparian areas	0	1	2	3	4	5
• Scenic beauty	0	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific resources	0	1	2	3	4	5
Sole source aquifers	0	1	2	3	4	5
Social issues	0	1	2	3	4	5
• Soil resources	0	1	2	3	4	5
Water quality	0	1	2	3	4	5
Water resources	0	1	2	3	4	5
Waters of the United States, including special aquatic sites	0	1	2	3	4	5
Wetlands	0	1	2	3	4	5
Wild and scenic rivers	0	1	2	3	4	5
Other possible concerns:						
Delayed planting	0	1	2	3	4	5
Prevented planting	0	1	2	3	4	5
Crop damages from prolonged inundation	0	1	2	3	4	5
Road damages	0	1	2	3	4	5
Culvert and bridge wash outs	0	1	2	3	4	5
Field erosion/deposition	0	1	2	3	4	5
Breakout flows to Willow Creek/Drain 67	<u> 0 </u>	1	2	3	4	5
Community impacts	0	1	2	3	4	5
Channel erosion/deposition	0	1	2	3	4	5
Transportation disruptions	<u>0</u>	1	2	3	4	5
Business/Commerce disruptions	0	1	2	3	4	5
•(Write-in)	0	1	2	3	4	5
•(Write-in)	0	1	2	3	4	5
•(Write-in)	0	1	2	3	4	5
•(Write-in)	0	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments:

